1	Robert J. Lauson, Esq., SBN 175,486	
2	bob@lauson.com LAUSON & TARVER LLP	
3	880 Apollo Street, Suite 301 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel. (310) 726-0892	
4	Tel. (310) 726-0892 Fax (310) 726-0893	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Voice International, I	nc.
6	David Grober	
7	Davidgrober1@gmail.com 578 West Washington Blvd Suite 866	
8	Davidgrober1@gmail.com 578 West Washington Blvd., Suite 866 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292 (310) 951-1110	
9	Plaintiff Pro Se	
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFO	DRNIA – WESTERN DIVISION
14		
15	VOICE INTERNATIONAL, INC., a	Case No.: 2:15-cv-08830-JAK(KS)
15 16	VOICE INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California corporation; DAVID GROBER, an individual,	
	VOICE INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California corporation; DAVID GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs,	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs,	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant
16 17	GROBER, an individual,	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs,	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20 21	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL,	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20 21	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL, LLC, et al.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL, LLC, et al.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
116 117 118 119 120 221 221	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL, LLC, et al.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL, LLC, et al.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL, LLC, et al.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 225	GROBER, an individual, Plaintiffs, v. OPPENHEIMER CINE RENTAL, LLC, et al.	Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendant Oceanic Production Equipment,

1. Plaintiff Voice International by and through its undersigned counsel and Plaintiff David Grober hereby respond to Oceanic Production Equipment, Ltd. (OPEL)'s Counterclaims.

Parties

- 2. Answering paragraph two of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said Paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations contained therein.
- 3. Answering paragraph three of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.
- 4. Answering paragraph four of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.

Jurisdiction

- 5. Answering paragraph five of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.
- 6. Answering paragraph six of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.
- 7. Answering paragraph seven of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.

Counterclaim I: Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement, Invalidity, And Unenforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,611,662

- 8. Answering paragraph eight of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate their answers to paragraphs 1-7 herein.
- 9. Answering paragraph nine of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.
- 10. Answering paragraph ten of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.
 - 11. Answering paragraph eleven of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs

deny the allegations therein.

- 12. Answering paragraph twelve of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 13. Answering paragraph thirteen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 14. Answering paragraph fourteen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 15. Answering paragraph fifteen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said Paragraph, and on that basis deny the allegations contained therein.
- 16. Answering paragraph sixteen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 17. Answering paragraph seventeen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 18. Answering paragraph eighteen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 19. Answering paragraph nineteen of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 20. Answering paragraph twenty of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.
- 21. Answering paragraph twenty-one of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs admit the allegations therein.
- 22. Answering paragraph twenty-two of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.

Request For Relief

23. Answering paragraph twenty-three of OPEL's Counterclaims, Plaintiffs deny the allegations therein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows: 1 A. For a judgment that the patent, and each and every asserted claim thereof, 2 is valid, enforceable and infringed; 3 B. That OPEL's counterclaim be dismissed with prejudice, and no relief 4 granted to OPEL; 5 C. That pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, and any other applicable authority, 6 OPEL be ordered to pay all of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees 7 incurred in defending against OPEL's counterclaims; and, 8 D. That Plaintiff be awarded such other relief as the Court deems just and 9 equitable. 10 11 Date: March 8, 2018 Respectively submitted, 12 13 LAUSON & TARVER LLP 14 15 /s/ robert lauson Robert Lauson (175486) 16 Attorneys for Voice International, Inc. 17 18 /s/ david grober David Grober 19 Plaintiff, in pro per 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28